

Poplar woodchip storage in small and medium piles with different forms, densities and volumes

Abstract

Wood biomass is one of the main sources of biofuel for bioenergy production worldwide.

Generally, the exclusive use of comminuted biomass in automated boilers is preferred because these woodchips consist of homogeneous particles with a specified size. Wood biomass is harvested mainly in autumn and winter, whereas the demand for biomass-fired power stations is continuous throughout the year. Nevertheless, large amounts of woodchips are also produced in the spring and summer from residual materials obtained from the utilisation of conventional poplar plantations.

This study focused on uncovered small and medium woodchip piles. In particular, the influence of form, density, and the size of piles on the biofuel quality during woodchip storage was analysed.

The woodchip moisture contents and dry matter losses were considered when evaluating the storage dynamics.

The results suggest that a storage system can be selected to service only the needs of thermal stations because any difference between the form (trapezoidal and cone), volume (35 and 70 m³), and density of the piles was ~~highlighted by the~~ observed on woodchip quality analysis. In fact, a mean moisture content of 18% and average dry matter losses of 10% were recorded at the end of storage period for all treatments. Notably, the climate conditions and storage periods affected the results of this experiment.

Keywords: Poplar woodchips, storage, uncovered piles, ~~form piles,~~ natural drying, losses

26 **Introduction**

27 Wood biomass is one of the main sources of biofuel for bioenergy production worldwide [1].

28 Generally, the exclusive use of comminuted biomass in automated boilers is preferred because
29 woodchips consist of homogeneous particles with a specified size. Moreover, chipping offers
30 additional benefits in terms of an increased load density and improved handling quality [2].

31

32 Chipping may take place during timber harvesting or some months after tree cutting. At present,
33 two different groups of machines can be use in chipping operations: chippers, or machines that use
34 sharp tools (knives) to cut or slice the wood; and grinders, or machines that use blunt tools
35 (hammers) to smash or crush the wood [2]. In particular, grinders are used for contaminated wood,
36 as their blunt tools are less sensitive to the wearing effect of contaminants, but these machines
37 generate a rather coarse product that is unsuitable for use in some plants [3]. In contrast, chippers
38 are exclusively applied to clean wood and offer a finer and better product [1]. In addition, the
39 chippers used to produce woodchips for energy uses can be divided by function based on their knife
40 support: disc and drum [4-5].

41

42 Generally, wood biomass is harvested in autumn and winter during tree felling and short rotation
43 coppice harvest. Nevertheless, woodchips can also be produced in spring and summer from residual
44 materials obtained from the utilisation of conventional poplar plantations.

45 Independent from the harvesting period, the demand of biomass-fired power stations is constant and
46 may contrast the farm's activities [6]. Creating a fuel buffer in the farm to secure the availability of
47 biofuel at all times may solve this problem [7]. Furthermore, biomass storage in the farm is an
48 interesting option for power station because it generates woodchips with a ~~high market value~~ low
49 moisture content.

50

51 The woodchip can be stored in different ways in terms of site condition functions [8], and logistical
52 strategies [9]. Nevertheless, many studies have shown that long-term woodchip storage (over three
53 months) can cause significant dry matter losses and a consequent net energy value reduction [10-
54 12]. In fact, these dry matter losses can reach 20% of the initial value for a storage period of one
55 year [13-15]. Woodchip storage methods and their associated problems have been well documented
56 in the literature [11-12, 16-19]. Wood chips stored in small-sized piles can be used in different
57 covering systems in order to guarantee good calorific values and limited energy losses [20]. By
58 contrast, the storage of wood biomass in large piles is only possible outdoors, where the piles are
59 uncovered due to logistical and economic reasons [21]. Nevertheless, previous studies in
60 northwestern Italy have shown that uncovered storage is better than covered storage for small piles
61 as well [20]. Until now no study was focused on pile characteristics (form, density, and volume)
62 used in woodchip storing.

64 For this reason, the goal of this study was to analyse the influence of the form, density, and volume
65 of uncovered piles on the quality of the biofuel during woodchip storage. In particular, this study
66 focused on uncovered small and medium piles.

68 **Materials and methods**

69 The experiments were conducted in north western Italy (Vinovo town near Turin) between June and
70 September 2012. During this period, this geographic area produces relatively large amounts of
71 woodchips from the logging residues of conventional poplar plantations. Unlike previous studies, a
72 short period of four months was selected because the air temperature was high and the woodchips
73 dried quickly.

74 The tests compared different forms of piles for woodchips storage: small (35 m^3) and medium
75 (70 m^3) piles; trapezoidal and conical forms; and low (340 kg m^{-3} fresh matter) and high (470 kg m^{-3}
76 3 fresh matter) material density. The volumes of the piles were relatively small because the area

77 used for the trial was limited (approximately 1200 m²). In this study, the tests were conducted using
78 only poplar woodchips produced from the logging residues of conventional poplar plantations
79 (*Populus x Euramericana* G., clone I-214).

80 Small piles were built with the load from one wagon trailer used for woodchip transportation (35
81 m³), while the medium piles were built with the loads from two wagon trailers (70 m³). Different
82 geometric forms (trapezoidal and conical) were compared only for piles with medium volumes.
83 Notably, the piles appeared to be of different sizes due to their geometrical forms and volumes (Fig.
84 1). The woodchip density was increased following the method used for maize ensilage, in which the
85 woodchips were pressed with vehicles. Therefore, the density was compared only for trapezoidal
86 piles. Wood chips were pressed with a telescopic handler, which was used to build the pile. The
87 volumes of the compacted piles were estimated by measuring their size after wood chip
88 compaction.

89 Each test consisted of a single pile and was replicated three times for a total of 12 replicates (table
90 1). All piles were made on a concrete floor using a random method. None of the piles were covered.
91

92 Wood chips were produced from fresh logging residues (approximately 15 cm in diameter and 9.5
93 m in length) and, consequently, woodchip was mixed with leaves. A drum chipper (Pezzolato PTH
94 900) was used to comminute the wood. The moisture content and temperature of woodchips were
95 used to evaluate the storage dynamics. These two parameters are reliable indicators of the storage
96 dynamics of wood used in energy production [21]. Therefore, they were monitored for all storage
97 period considered (June – September). The temperature inside each pile was monitored using a
98 thermocouple, and the moisture content of the woodchips was measured with an electrical
99 hygrometer (GANN®Hydromette HT85T), which is normally used in sawmills and includes a
100 prototype probe developed by DISAFA (University of Turin). The probe of the hygrometer consist
101 of two short steel electrodes (20 mm) and is designed for registering the external moisture content
102 of logs. In this experiment, the probe was substituted with a prototype made by inserting the

103 electrodes directly into a particle wood and wiring them to the hygrometric unit. The gravimetric
 104 method [22] and woodchips with physical characteristics equivalent to those used in the tests were
 105 used to set up the probe. The accuracy of this sensor was 1% in moisture content. During the first
 106 30 days, the measurements were performed daily, then every three days. The high frequency of
 107 reads during the first 30 days was performed to evaluate temperature peaks, which occur primarily
 108 during this period [14, 16, 20]. The sampling points were located in the middle of the pile at three
 109 different heights (0.5 m above the ground, centre of pile, 0.5 m under the pile surface) (Fig. 1).
 110 In this study, energy losses were expressed in terms of weight (dry matter) and volume. Small piles
 111 showed a volume of a single trailer, while medium piles were built using the loads of two trailers.
 112 The trailers were weighed by a certified weighbridge before being offloaded. The volume of wood
 113 chips was calculated by levelling the load to the tops of the caisson trailer sides. At the end of the
 114 storage period, all single piles were reweighed and the dry weight was calculated as a function of
 115 the moisture content of the wood chips.
 116 The weather conditions, including the air temperature (C°), air humidity (%) and precipitation
 117 (mm), were monitored by a dedicated weather station sited near the piles. The values were recorded
 118 every hour.
 119 The particle size distribution affects the air circulation into the chip piles and therefore can
 120 influence the storage performances [12]. In this study, the air permeability was estimated using the
 121 coefficient (A) defined by Nellist [23]:
 122
$$A = 19125 * P^{-0.874},$$

 123 where P is the mean of particle size expressed in mm.
 124
 125 This coefficient was calculated only for uncompacted piles.
 126

127 The size of the woodchips used in the tests was determined following the European Standard EN
128 15149-1: 2011 using 1 kg samples. In particular, the wood chips were split into eight classes: <3.15
129 mm, 3.16-8 mm, 9-16 mm, 17-31.5 mm, 31.16–45 mm, 46–63 mm, 64–100 mm, and >100 mm.

130

131 The wood energy content (Low Heating Value) was calculated according to the following formula
132 [24]:

$$133 \quad \text{LHV} = W_{dw} * E_s - W_w * E_w,$$

134 where:

135 LHV = wood energy content (Low Heating Value) (GJt^{-1}),

136 W_{dw} = dry wood weight (t),

137 W_w = water weight (t),

138 E_s = the specific energy content of dry poplar wood (19 GJ t^{-1} [7]), and

139 E_w = the amount of energy needed to evaporate the water in wood (2.5 GJt^{-1}).

140

141 The data were processed with Microsoft excel and SPSS (2013) statistical software. Eventual
142 differences between the trials were checked with the Ryan–Einot–Gabriel–Welsch (REGW) test
143 because it has a higher statistical power given this data distribution. This study adopted a
144 significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$.

145

146 **Results**

147 ~~80%~~Eighty percent of the comminuted wood used in the trials was in the form of chips, with sizes
148 between 8 and 45 mm (central particle size class) (Fig. 2). The average particle size of the
149 comminuted wood was 31 mm, and the permeability coefficient calculated was 951.

150

151 During the test, the air temperature ranged from 17 to 28 °C. A mean value of 23 °C was recorded
152 over the entire storage period. The air humidity ranged from 56% to 62% (monthly average), with
153 peaks occurring during rain events (Fig. 3). The total precipitation was 50.6 mm of rain.

154

155 Fig. 4 reports the temperature trends obtained from the different piles. The trends for all piles are
156 similar, and all piles showed gradual descending temperature trends. The higher temperature values
157 were obtained a few days after building the piles. Subsequently, the values exhibited a constant
158 decline until reaching equilibrium with the air temperature after approximately 50 days.

159

160 The temperature values obtained over the entire storage period at the different measurement points
161 are shown in Table 2. The data processing showed no significant differences between the values
162 recorded in the same test and time period at three different heights.

163

164 The moisture content of the woodchips decreased during the entire storage period, with similar
165 trends for all pile types tested (Fig. 5).

166 All chips originated from the same stem stack. Thus, the initial moisture content was the same for
167 all treatments. We therefore assumed that the general trends presented in this study are
168 representative of the differences in treatment.

169 The statistical analysis did not show any significant differences between the moisture content
170 readings obtained from the different heights (Table 3).

171

172 Table 4 and Figure 6 show the initial and final mean volumes, the green weight and the dry matter
173 losses determined for the different types of piles. An average dry matter loss of 10% was recorded
174 for all treatments-

175

176 **Discussion**

177 The results obtained in this work are similar to those obtained in other Italian [20, 25] and northern
178 European [26] studies comparing different storage techniques. In these studies, chip piles covered
179 with different materials (plastic sheets, semi-permeable fleece sheets, and roofs) and uncovered chip
180 piles were analysed. In detail, the dry matter losses obtained in this study (10%) are similar to those
181 recorded in other experiments using uncovered chip piles.

182

183 In contrast, our results for moisture content differ from those obtained in other studies conducted in
184 northern Europe [7, 17, 19, 26]. This is because the Italian climate is drier, which limits re-wetting.
185 In fact, rain events are rare in northwestern Italy, especially in the summer reason (the storage
186 period chosen in this study). These favourable weather conditions contributed to drier wood chips
187 (20%) after only 30 days of storage. In northern Europe or in the same locality but with different
188 weather conditions, storage periods of up to 180 days may be necessary to obtain drier wood chips
189 [19-20]. Notably, drier woodchips offer a high reward, especially in the residential heating market
190 sector [27].

191

192 All treatments showed an early temperature trend that can be taken as an indication of biological
193 activities inside the piles, which is the main cause of dry matter losses [7, 21]. As in other studies,
194 early temperature peaks were recorded during the first ten days of storage [28]. Differently the
195 temperature inside the pile were lower than 15% compared to values the same authors found in
196 other work carried out in the same geographical area [20]. That difference could be attributable to
197 different storage period considered: summer in this study, and spring/summer in the previous
198 experiment [20]. The compaction of the woodchips, which drove out air, did not limit the biological
199 activity. The temperature recorded in high density piles was similar to that observed in low density
200 piles. One reason for this could be the leaves presence in the woodchip and the high environmental
201 temperature during the experiment period.

202

Moreover, no differences were observed between the forms (trapezoidal and conical) and volumes (35 and 70 m³) of the tested piles. These results suggest that a storage system can be selected to service only the needs of thermal stations.

Care should be taken when interpreting these results because only poplar chips wood were tested. This forestry species is less durable than other forestry species used to produce woodchips. The relative permeability offered by a rather large chip size facilitated water movements. In this study, the permeability coefficient of wood chips was estimated to be approximately 900 (31 mm chip side), which is a relatively low value compared to those obtainable from fine woodchips (1500) [29].

The different dry matter losses obtained in other studies conducted in Britain [17] using willow, a species very similar to poplar, are probably due to the different climate conditions and storage period (winter). In fact, the high dry matter losses (20%) recorded in northern Europe could be linked to a wetter Atlantic climate and season, which cause high proliferation rates in fungi and pathogens.

The present study also showed that all tested treatments resulted in sufficient moisture loss after 30 days of storage (approximately 18%). This moisture loss can guarantee the use of woodchips in any processing type, including industrial boilers [30], co-firing [31], pulping [32] or green chemistry [33].

The results obtained in this experiment strongly depended on the period during which the storage occurred and particularly on the very low levels of precipitation that characterise Italian summers. Under Italian climate conditions, the rainfall normally exceeds 50 mm during the other seasons. In fact, another Italian study documented very high dry matter losses and limited drying for the same

229 type of chips stored in trapezoidal and uncompacted piles for six months across the winter and
230 spring seasons [25].

231

232 Finally, the logging of conventional poplar plantations often results in a summer availability of
233 logging residues for use in wood chips production. This reduces the drying time of the wood chips
234 and, consequently, the dry matter losses independently of chip pile form, size and density relative to
235 wood chips produced from dedicated plantations, which are normally harvested in winter.

236

237

238 **Conclusions**

239 Under the conditions of the northern Italian summer, the forms and sizes of the uncovered wood
240 chip piles considered in this experiment do not influence the final poplar woodchip quality.

241 Furthermore, the woodchip density during the storage period did not affect the biofuel quality in
242 this study. These results are relevant to the wood biomass storage sector because a power station or
243 farm can build biofuel piles only as a function of its logistic requirements.

244 Notably, the climate conditions affected both the storage dynamics and the results obtained in this
245 study. In the future, it could be interesting to carry out experiments using wood chips produced
246 from other tree species, thereby allowing the evaluation of the differences between those results and
247 the results obtained in this study.

248

249 **References**

- 250 [1] Spinelli R, Nati C, Sozzi L, Magagnotti N, Picchi G. Physical characterization of commercial
251 woodchips on the Italian energy market. *Fuel* 2010;90:2198–202.
- 252 [2] Pottie M, Guimier D. Preparation of forest biomass for optimal conversion. *FERIC Special*
253 *Report SR-32*, 1985, Pointe Claire, Canada. 112 p.
- 254 [3] Strelher A. Technologies of wood combustion. *Ecological Engineering* 2000;16: 25-40.

- 255 [4] Spinelli R, Magagnotti N. Comparison of two harvesting systems for the production of forest
256 biomass from the thinning of *Picea Abies* plantations. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*
257 2010;25:69-77.
- 258 [5] Spinelli R, Cavallo E, Eliasson L, Facello A. Comparing the efficiency of drum and disc
259 chippers. *Silva Fennica* 2010;47(2):<http://dx.doi.org/10.14214/sf.930>.
- 260 [6] Nord-Larsen T, Talbot B. Assessment of forest-fuel resources in Denmark: technical and
261 economic availability. *Biomass Bioenerg* 2004;27:97-109.
- 262 [7] Jirjis R. Storage and drying of wood fuel. *Biomass Bioenerg* 1995;9:181–90.
- 263 [8] Jirijs R. Effects of particle size and pile and pile height on storage and fuel quality of
264 comminuted *Salix viminalis*. *Biomass Bioenerg* 2005;28:193–201.
- 265 [9] Kanzian C, Holzleitner F, Stampfer K, Ashton S. Regional energy wood logistics - optimizing
266 local fuel supply. *Silva Fennica* 2009;43:113–28.
- 267 [10] Nurmi J. The storage of jogging residue for fuel. *Biomass Bioenerg* 1999;17:41–7.
- 268 [11] Jirijs R. Enumeration and Distribution of fungi in stored fuel chip piles. *Material and*
269 *Organismen* 1989;24(1):27-38.
- 270 [12] Casal MD, Gil MV, Pevida C, Rubiera F, Pis JJ. Influence of storage time on the quality and
271 combustion behavior of pine woodchips. *Energy* 2010;35:3066-71.
- 272 [13] Thoernquist T, Lundstroem H. Health hazards caused by fungi on stored wood chips. *F prod J*
273 1982;32:11-2.
- 274 [14] Riva G, Balsari P. Essiccazione del legno sminuzzato da utilizzare a fini energetici. *Cellulosa e*
275 *carta* 1988;39(6):31-6.
- 276 [15] Riva G, Fabbri C, Calzoni J, Massari A. Prove di essiccazione e stoccaggio della biomassa.
277 Roma: ENEL Direzione Studi e Ricerche; 1997.
- 278 [16] Kubler H. Air convection in self-heating piles of wood chips. *Tappi Journal* 1987;65:63-79.
- 279 [17] Mitchell CP, Hudson JB, Garder D, Storry P. A comparative study of storage and drying of
280 chips and chunks in the UK. In: *Proc of JEA/BE Workshop production, storage and utilization*

281 of wood fuels. Uppsala, Sweden. SLU, Department of Operational Efficiency, Garpenberg,
 282 Research notes 1988;134:72–89.

283 [18] Nilsson T. Lagring av smaved I mandre stackar. Summary: storage of chunk wood in smaller
 284 piles. Report, SLU, Department of Forest Products 1987;196:4-6.

285 [19] Jirjis R, Thelanders O. The effect of seasonal storage on the chemical composition of forest
 286 residue chips. Scand J For Res 1990;5:437–48.

287 [20] Manzone M, Balsari P, Spinelli R. Small-scale storage techniques for fuel chips from short
 288 rotation forestry. Fuel 2013;109:687-92.

289 [21] Fuller W. Chip pile storage – a review of practices to avoid deterioration and economic losses.
 290 TAPPI J 1985;68:48–52.

291 [22] UNI EN 14774-2. Solid biofuels, determination of moisture content – oven dry method, Part 2:
 292 total moisture - simplified method; 2010.

293 [23] Nellist M. The effect of particle size on the storage and drying of wood fuels. In: Hudson B,
 294 Kofman P, editors. Harvesting storage and road transportation of logging residues. Proceedings
 295 of a workshop of IEA-BA-Task XII activity 1.2 held in October 1995 Glasgow, Scotland. FSL,
 296 Vejle (Denmark); 1995, p. 59-70.

297 [24] Magagnotti N, Spinelli R. COST Action FP0902 – good practice guideline for biomass
 298 production studies. CNR IVALS. Florence, Italy; 2012. p. 41 [ISBN 978-88-901660-4-4].

299 [25] Barontini M, Scarfone A, Spinelli R, Gallucci F, Santangelo E, Acampora A, et al. Storage
 300 dynamics and fuel quality of poplar chips. Biomass Bioenerg 2014;62:17-25.

301 [26] Gjölsjö S. Storage of comminuted birch in piles in Norway. In: Proc IEA/BA Task VI Activity
 302 5. Garpenberg, Sweden, 13–16 June, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department
 303 of Operational Efficiency. Uppsala; 1994.

304 [27] Spinelli R, Magagnotti N. Comparison of two harvesting systems for the production of forest
 305 biomass from the thinning of Picea Abies plantations. Scand J For Res 2010;25:69–77.

- 306 [28] Eriksson A. Energy efficient storage of biomass at Vattenfall heat and power plants.
307 Examensarbete (Institutionen för energi och teknik, SLU, Swedish University of Agricultural
308 Sciences, Upssala, Sweden; 2011. p. 102 [ISSN 1654- 9392].
- 309 [29] Spinelli R, Hartsough B, Magagnotti N. Testing mobile chippers for chip size distribution. *Int J*
310 *For Eng* 2005;16:29–35.
- 311 [30] Khan A, De Jong W, Jansens P, Spliethoff H. Biomass combustion in fluidized bed boilers:
312 potential problems and remedies. *Fuel Process Technol* 2009;90:21–50.
- 313 [31] Molcan P, Lu G, Le Bris T, Yan Y, Taupin B, Caillat S. Characterisation of biomass and coal
314 co-firing on a 3 MWth combustion test facility using flame imaging and gas/ash sampling
315 techniques. *Fuel* 2009;88:2328–34.
- 316 [32] Phelps J, Isebrands J, Einspahr D, Christ J, Sturos J. Wood and paper properties of vacuum
317 airlift segregated juvenile poplar whole-tree chips. *Wood Fiber Sci* 1985;17:529–39.
- 318 [33] Faaij A. Bio-energy in Europe: changing technology choices. *En Pol* 2006;34:322–42.